

Brewery Wks

New Orleans



**INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD  
OF  
TEAMSTERS-CHAUFFEURS-WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS  
OF AMERICA**

AFFILIATED WITH THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

*Brewery Workers -  
New Orleans*

Mr. Einar O. Mohn,  
Executive Assistant to  
the President  
International Brotherhood of  
Teamsters  
100 Indiana Avenue, N. W.  
Washington 1, D. C.

1191 Linden Avenue, Memphis, Tennessee

October 22, 1953

Re: Brewery Workers - New Orleans, La.

Dear Sir and Brother:

The following is my personal appreciation of the New Orleans Brewery situation as it exists at the present time.

1. It is not possible to secure a disaffiliation vote from the membership.
2. If any attempt is made to receive certification by N. L. R. B. petition at this time it well might prove disastrous, since there are so many conflicting interests among the so called leaders and/or executive board members of the Brewery Workers Local, that their allegiances and positions change from day to day, and their general attitude of "let's not have any trouble" is the prevailing determinant.

The following facts should be given considerable weight in any analysis of this case.

1. Fundamentally, the employees concerned are not tied in the wool trade unionists in the full sense of the term.
2. Any differences that may presently exist between their parent organization and the local officials are in reality differences between Lindbergh and the local people. Since they distrust him and fear that his present interest is to establish a little dynasty in Texas for himself, even if it required the disruption of the brewers in New Orleans in order to build up the production of the Texas breweries. It is of interest to note that it is estimated that two of the larger New Orleans breweries distribute 42 and 46% of the production in Texas.

Therefore, when Franz Daniels of the National C. I. O. office guaranteed the executive board of the New Orleans local that he will see to it that Lindbergh has nothing to do with that city and offered the assistance of the National C. I. O. in the forthcoming negotiations - the main reason for any disaffiliation was overcome and their prime goal insured without "any possibility of trouble."

3. It should be noted that most of the employees in the breweries have worked their entire life with the one employer (Palstaff



# INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, CHAUFFEURS, WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS OF AMERICA

AFFILIATED WITH THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

Page #2

employees being the sole exception); for example, the average age of the employees at Dixie Brewery is 49½ years. Therefore, the threat by Lindberg seeing to it that those who advocated disaffiliation would be blackballed and discharged, had tremendous effect on certain key executive board members and from that time forward there existed no desire to stick their necks out or to run the possibility of having "trouble." This is particularly true in the case of "Red" Hagerty, Recording Secretary, and a most influential member of the Board.

Frank Marchese, the business agent of the local, employed in such a capacity for two years, is sincere individual but is unable to finally arrive at definite conclusions. This is evident from his constant traveling around New Orleans in an effort to obtain sound advice. He would solicit the Council of Teamsters, then an attorney, then the employer representative, then the C. I. O. in a constant merry-go-round of conferences, and although I firmly believe that he personally favors affiliation with our organization, he never had the complete courage of his convictions to the extent necessary to force the issue. Therefore, it must be reported that some of his trusted associates fell easily victims to Lindberg promises for jobs and in the end Marchese was not able to effectively recommend the disaffiliation.

The lethargic membership of the local cannot be excited to anything which might tend to be termed "drastic action" and the only possibility at this time for this attitude to be changed would be for:

1. The Brewers International Union to convict Marchese of dual unionism and expel him (which Lindberg personally advocated) but which Franz Daniels has promised to prohibit.
2. Or for Lindberg and/or Heller to remain active in the affairs of the New Orleans Local.

The present plans of the Brewers International is to announce their findings in the trial of Business Agent Marchese at a full membership meeting that will be scheduled either for Sunday, October 25 or November 1, 1953.

Any further activity on the part of our organization should, therefore, be influenced by the outcome of this meeting.

Franz Daniels of the National C. I. O. office has effectively sold many of the executive board members on his program which includes the plank "stay with us now and we will all go over together."

It is my personal opinion that our organization, through its





**INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD  
OF  
TEAMSTERS, WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS  
OF AMERICA**

AFFILIATED WITH THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

Page #3

various representatives has left no stone unturned but because of the existence of the various factors reported herein, our goal has not been reached.

Fraternally yours,

*Francis J. Murtha*  
FRANCIS J. MURTHA  
ECONOMIST

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE  
Brewery Workers  
New Orleans  
-X

October 15, 1953

Mr. Ray Schoessling, President  
National Conference of Brewery and Soft Drink Workers  
c/o Local Union No. 734  
133 South Ashland Boulevard  
Chicago 7, Illinois

Dear Sir and Brother:

I am taking this opportunity to give you an up-to-date report on what has taken place in New Orleans, Louisiana, with regards to the Brewery Workers Local Union 161.

First let me state our appreciation for the assistance given by Henry Bertels from New York. He was very faithful in coming to New Orleans and also valuable assistance was rendered by him, without which we would have been unable to make what progress we did.

After leaving Chicago, I went into New Orleans for further conference with the Business Agent and Executive Board of Local Union 161. At that time they were planning to take the disaffiliation vote on September 27th at a special called meeting. They felt that their main problem was still to get the members to vote to affiliate with our organization. Therefore, I called your office and requested the services of Henry Bertels and Bob Lewis, as they were available. Bertels came in immediately, but Bob Lewis was tied up on other business and was unable to come in. Henry and I met with the members of the Executive Board, Saturday and Sunday evening and everything seemed to be in order with the exception of the question in our minds as to what should actually be done in the meeting the following day, September 27th. Our Attorney, Paul Barker, along with Attorney McCarthy, felt that the disaffiliation vote should not be taken that day. Therefore, at the meeting on the 27th the members of Local Union 161 were advised by the Executive Board of events up to that time, and they voted unanimously to instruct the Board to meet with officials of the Teamsters Union to discuss affiliation.

Mr. Ray Schoessling  
Page 2

October 15, 1953

Monday night at 8 o'clock there was a special called meeting of the Executive Board of 161, at which time all members of the Board were present. Our attorney, Paul Barker and myself were invited to be present (Bartels was required to return home due to a death in the family). Barker and I met with the Board until 2 a.m., Tuesday. During this time we answered all questions put to us about disaffiliation and affiliation with us; questions about local autonomy, charter and title, per capita taxes, strike sanction and benefits, and every other possible question was asked and answered. We were then requested to leave the room, and in approximately 30 minutes we were called back into session. At this time we were advised by the Business Representative that the Board had voted unanimously to recommend, at a special called meeting, that the Local Union disaffiliate from the Brewery Workers International Union and affiliate with the Teamsters. At this time Attorney Barker read to them a Trust Agreement that he had drawn up to take care of their money and bonds, and they adopted this Trust and every member of the Board signed it. We then helped them draw up notices for a special called meeting for September 30th, one in the morning and one at night. We also worded a ballot to be printed for their affiliation vote.

On Tuesday, the 29th, we met with the Business Representative and part of the Board members at which time we prepared and then distributed the meeting notices. Also a special notice was prepared which was to be given to each member, which was done. Up to this point every one was in complete accord, including the Brewery Workers Labor Relations Department that the Local Union would disaffiliate at the meeting the next day.

At the morning meeting there were approximately 125 people present and while the Board was attempting to make their report they were subjected to some well organized agitating and heckling. One of the opposition was under the influence of either liquor or beer and was very rowdy. It caused the President to remove him out of the meeting. At that time about 25 or 30 of the membership present walked out with him. This evidently caused the Executive Board members some concern because they did not put the question to a vote.

After this meeting I again talked to the Business Representative and some of the Board members and insisted that in the night meeting they make their move, because if they did not it was going to be too late. They promised me that if they had any attendance at all at the night meeting that the vote would be taken.

At the night meeting there were approximately 250 people present. Although this meeting was orderly and the Board was allowed to report fully on their recommendation they were unable to get a motion made to adopt the recommendation. As a result that meeting adjourned without any action being taken.



Mr. Ray Schoenseling  
Page 3

October 15, 1953

By this time you can imagine, we were completely exasperated and hardly knew what to do next. However, not giving up, we called Henry Bartels in New York and talked to Linar Mohn in Washington, advising them of what had taken place. Henry then called Marshese by long distance and made it very emphatic that if something was not done in the next few days that obviously the Brewery Workers International Union would take steps to see that he was unable to proceed further.

After these discussions and conversations, Marshese, with the assistance of our Attorney, Paul Barker, proceeded to draft some petitions. These petitions were to be distributed among the members at the Brewery and the petition was to authorize disaffiliation. The petition was first taken to the Palstaff Brewery which is the largest employer. The Steward at this Brewery refused to assist Marshese in passing the petitions, even though Marshese was a Palstaff employee and received most of his support from those members they refused to help him at this time. He then took the petition to the Jax Brewing Company, the second largest employer in the city. On one shift employing 116 men he received 110 signatures. On the next shift he received approximately 45% of those working, however, I do not know the number. All of this took place on Monday and Tuesday of October 5 and 6th.

Tuesday a Mr. Franz Daniels, representative of the CIO, came to New Orleans and called on Marshese. His purpose was to convince Marshese that he was making a mistake and was going to get in trouble. He also told Marshese that the CIO was going to take over the Brewery Workers International Union and remove Feller and Lindburg in the near future. Marshese told him that was fine. But he had made up his mind and he was committed to affiliate his Local Union with the Teamsters and was going to follow through. Daniels stated he respected him for his position and his stand, but he was going to do everything possible to stop him.

The next day Marshese was served with charges by Whitey Munster. These charges accused Marshese of disloyalty and dual unionism, etc. The trial date was set for Friday, October 9th. Marshese contacted our Attorney Barker, who drafted answers for him and also requested an extension on the trial. The reason the International was rushing the trial was because the regular Local Union meeting came up on October 13th and they wanted Marshese tried and expelled before that meeting.

Marshese went to the trial along with the President, the Secretary and some of the members of the Local. The trial committee was made up of the International officials, of course. Marshese made a special request for postponement and presented the papers that Barker had prepared for him. I understand that Lindburg told them that if they would assure him that there would be no effort made in the Sunday meeting to disaffiliate, that they would postpone the trial until Friday, October 16th. Marshese refused to give the assurance, however, the President and Secretary did. As a

Mr. Ray Schoessling  
Page 4

October 15, 1953

result the trial was postponed. One thing I failed to mention above, was that Peller had written a letter to Local 101, requesting the officers and Job Stewards to meet him in New Orleans on October 4th. The membership voted to file his letter, and instructed the officers and stewards not to meet him.

At the meeting on Sunday, October 11th, Lindberg was in attendance. One of the members came over and demanded a report from the Board on the question of disaffiliation. The President ruled him out of order and after considerable arguing back and forth the ruling stood and the question dropped.

As the situation stands as of this date, I must honestly tell you that I am completely bewildered by the chain of events. Through the work of Dave Kaplan, Henry Bartels and others it looked like it was a foregone conclusion that those members would take action to disaffiliate. I do not know whether or not I can explain their failure to follow through, but it seems that they are inexperienced and do not have the political courage to come out in the open on the matter and actually move for disaffiliation. However, if this explanation is not the right one, then I am at a complete loss to offer any other. You must remember that Marshalls made a complete report to his members in front of his General President and the International Representative at which time he accused them to their face of betraying the members, selling them out and said they should be rode out of town on a rail. When a new Business Representative will conduct himself in that manner, then it is hard for me to believe that he did not have the courage to carry on. However, as I stated above, I can find no other reason.

Please be assured you will be kept advised of any further developments. Let me take this opportunity to also thank you people for your assistance in the Pepsi-Cola matter at Memphis, Tennessee. By the intervention of Dave Leviner and yourself we were able to get our discharged employee reinstated at his former job at the Pepsi-Cola Plant. Our Local Union officials there have advised me recently that they have every man but three signed up as members of their Local Union.

I will advise you as to the results of this election upon its completion.

With best wishes, I remain

Faternally yours,

MURRAY W. MILLER  
CHAIRMAN AND DIRECTOR

MWM:bb  
CC: To all members of Policy Committee  
CC: To Mr. Dave Kaplan



*file  
down*

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE  
*Brewery Workers -*  
*New Orleans*  
X

LAW OFFICES  
F. M. MCCARTHY, JR.  
F. NASON O'HARA  
H. S. JOHNSON  
A. C. SKAIFE  
818 BALBOA BUILDING  
SAN FRANCISCO 8  
DOUGLAS 2-0726

September 30, 1953

Mr. Einar O. Mohn, Vice President  
International Brotherhood of  
Teamsters  
100 Indiana Avenue N.W.  
Washington 1, D.C.

Dear Mr. Mohn:

This is a report on "Operation Disorganized".

In Chicago two reports were made in detail after you left.

1. That in Philadelphia the pledge cards upon which NLRB action would be based ran to the present Teamster local and not to the locals that were to be taken over.

Ray and myself were appointed to take the matter up with President Beck in St. Louis so that we would avoid any local conflict. Ray left and it was agreed I should see President Beck. I did. He assured me that the Vice President in charge of the area was in complete accord with the policy of bringing over the Brewery Worker locals intact or into their own local, when possible. I stated that there must be a mistake or misunderstanding and that I would take the matter up with you. He approved and I did.

2. It was reported with respect to New Orleans

- a) that all of the steps necessary to protect the CIO local union's funds had been taken.
- b) that the Secretary of the local union, Frank Marchese had the men behind him in a move to disaffiliate but it was doubtful if he had the votes to affiliate with the Teamsters.
- c) that he was undecided about when he would call a meeting to disaffiliate.
- d) that it was unwise for any of our people to talk to the membership of the local and no one had talked to the membership.
- e) that attorney, Warren Hall, was ill, would not be allowed to touch a labor problem for a year or two and that Paul Barker was the local attorney in charge.

Page 2.

I stated that I knew Hall but did not know Barker and he did not know me and that I would like permission to check with Barker on the situation at the Lawyers Conference in St. Louis. It was granted.

I met Barker in St. Louis. He reported:

1. That the local union had cash, about \$20,000 in bonds and a building. Subsequently it developed the local union had, give or take, \$9000 in cash and \$20,000 in bonds or a total of \$29,000, and that no steps whatsoever had been taken to protect the money and no preparation had been made to take any steps to protect the money.

2. That he knew of no meeting having been set but Frank was considering calling one when the time was ripe.

3. He believed that people like Bob and other ex-brewery workers - not from the New York area - could talk to the membership and could help. That New York had no program. He believed that the members would buy the Brewery and Soft Drink Conference idea even if they did not like Teamsters as such.

4. Barker and I asked President Beck if it was okay if we met privately with Frank and Dusty on Sunday evening in New Orleans to take up the matter of the \$29,000. He said yes and that he would have Dusty meet us there. I telephoned you at a number President Beck gave me. I did not get you.

In the meantime Dusty had asked for a New York representative and Bob Lewis to come into New Orleans as they had a local union meeting set up for Sunday morning and they needed help. I found this out from Bob and tell it to Barker. He is already trying to reach Dusty by telephone. He reaches Frank. He talks with him.

Then a mistake. I say it is mine - Barker says it is his - Bob talks to Frank.

We finally hear from Dusty. The situation:

Dusty received a message thru an employer representative from President Beck to go ahead at the Sunday morning meeting. He has heard nothing about a private conference Sunday evening to arrange to keep the \$29,000 away from the CIO International.

I obtained by long distance telephone copies of various resolution to use to keep the \$29,000 and gave them to Barker. Barker and I discussed the matter with attorney Wells. Dusty then decides with Barker that it is too late to use the resolutions. I recommend that they divide up the treasury after paying the International per capita tax. Wells suggests leaving \$1000 extra in the local union. We both recommend it to Barker. What he finally recommended to Dusty I do not know.

Page 3.

If they went ahead Sunday, I hope Dusty and Frank won. If they did not, it was a good try. Fortunately none of the confusion got outside the family, so it could do no harm.

However - \$20,000 is not peanuts - it is more than six months' interest on the CIO International's bank loans. In addition, a personal liability is created against our friends to the extent of \$9000 if they spend it after coming over, if the CIO keeps enough stooges to keep the local alive.

In all the CIO is given three or four places to apply pressure and cause trouble.

Of course, the International can pick up the check but it is an expensive way to swing the deal.

Some method must be worked out to avoid such confusion.

In case of Omaha, which is displaying some interest in coming over the following is what I propose to do unless otherwise instructed.

- a) Get a report from the attorney for the Joint Council with whom I have discussed the problem.
- b) Send it on to Ray.
- c) Send the attorney for the Joint Council a report on the various methods that have been used in various places to protect the funds, property, etc.
- d) Forget it until I hear from Ray or the attorney for the Joint Council.

Sincerely,

PHM:a1





OFFICE OF  
CHAIRMAN AND DIRECTOR  
516 BROWNS ST.  
AT 4000

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE  
*Brewery Workers -  
New Orleans*

**THE  
SOUTHERN CONFERENCE OF TEAMSTERS**  
AFFILIATED WITH THE  
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD  
OF  
TEAMSTERS • CHAUFFEURS • WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS  
OF AMERICA • A. F. OF L.

August 21, 1953

PHONE RIVERSIDE 4763  
DALLAS, TEXAS

CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Dave Beck, General President  
International Brotherhood of Teamsters  
100 Indiana Ave. N.W.  
Washington D.C.

Dear Sir and Brother:

RE: Brewery Workers Organization  
New Orleans, La.

I had a meeting yesterday morning with Mr. Frank Marchese, who is the Business Representative of the Bottlers' Local Union of the Brewery workers in New Orleans in regard to the next step in their disaffiliation with the Brewery Workers' International Union.

At this time of the year, it is customary procedure for their Executive Board to draft a proposal for a new contract. They then call a special meeting of the membership for changes and approval. At this meeting Marchese planned to take up the disaffiliation question again and he advises me that he thinks they are in a position to carry the motion, and at that meeting will be the most opportune time. That meeting will be scheduled sometime in September and I am urging and insisting Marchese that he proceed on it without any delay.

You will be advised of further developments.

Faternally yours,

*Murray W. Miller*  
MURRAY W. MILLER (cc)  
CHAIRMAN AND DIRECTOR

MWM:abc

8-51-53  
good for filing

*Tele*  
P3

# WESTERN UNION

SENDING BANK

*Tele*

RDV Fast Paid Int. Bro. Teamsters July 23, 1953

Mr. Frank Marthese  
Brewery Workers Local No. 161  
415 Gotdchaux Building  
New Orleans, Louisiana

With respect to inquiries received from members of your local union regarding terms of affiliation of your local with the Teamsters International Union, we can give the following assurances: (1) You can maintain your local autonomy to administer your own affairs and negotiate your agreements subject to the provisions of the Teamsters International Constitution. (2)

*Page 1.*

Send the above message, subject to the terms on back hereof, which are hereby agreed to

PLEASE TYPE OR WRITE PLAINLY WITHIN BORDER—DO NOT FOLD

1249—(3-53)

*Tele*

## WESTERN UNION

*Tele*

SENDING BLANK

Page 2. Frank Marthese

It will be our desire to afford you every assistance in this particular. (2) Also subject to the International Constitution, we can assure you jurisdiction not only in the brewery industry but over soft drink plants. (3) By agreement with the Southern Conference of Teamsters you will be furnished aid in organizing that jurisdiction. (4) All conditions of affiliation agreed upon with 23 other Brewery Worker locals in New York and elsewhere will apply to you. (5) Per capita tax to the International Brotherhood of Teamsters is forty cents per person upon all making financial payments to your organization. (6) Initiation fees on all present bona fide members in good

(more)

Send the above message, subject to the terms on back hereof, which are hereby agreed to.

PLEASE TYPE OR WRITE PLAINLY WITHIN BORDER—DO NOT FOLD

1289—(3-30)



*Telefax* **WESTERN UNION** *Telefax*  
PENDING BLANK

Page 3. Frank Marthese

standing of your local union will be waived. Members joining after issuance of charter shall pay fee specified in International constitution. (Paragraph) We will be glad to answer any further questions and welcome your local union into the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and the Brewery Workers trade division of our organization.

aaw

Dave Beck, General President  
International Brotherhood of Teamsters

Send the above message, subject to the terms on back hereof, which are hereby agreed to

PLEASE TYPE OR WRITE PLAINLY WITHIN BORDER—DO NOT FOLD  
1249—(7-52)

See wire sent

July 23, 1953

Mr. Frank Marthese  
Brewery Workers Local No. 161  
415 Goddard Building  
New Orleans, Louisiana

With respect to inquiries received from members of your local union regarding terms of affiliation of your local with the Teamsters International Union, we can give the following assurances:

1. You can maintain your local autonomy to administer your own affairs and negotiate your agreements. *subject to the provisions of I.A.M. Local Court. Sufficient help will be given to you upon request. It will be our desire to afford you every assistance in this particular.*  
We can assure you jurisdiction not only in the brewery industry but also over soft drink plants.

3. By agreement with the Southern Conference of Teamsters you will be furnished aid in organizing that jurisdiction. ~~many~~

4. All conditions of affiliation agreed upon with 23 other Brewery Worker loc 1s in New York and elsewhere will apply to you.

5. Per capita tax to the International Brotherhood of Teamsters *per person upon all persons receiving membership dues* is 40 cents ~~per member per month~~. *After local dues are deducted*

6. Initiation fees on all present bona fide members of your local union will be waived. *(No local initiation fee for those who join after date of charter)* *Members joining after issuance of charter shall pay fee specified in that charter.*

We will be glad to answer any further questions and welcome your local union into the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and the Brewery Workers trade division of our organization.

Sig - D. B.

ADMINISTRATIVE FILE  
Brewery Workers -  
■ New Orleans  
X

June 16, 1933

Mr. Murray Miller,  
Southern Conference of Teamsters  
1190 Walker Avenue,  
Memphis, Tennessee

Dear Sir and Brother:

Pursuant to our phone conversation of yesterday, I have discussed your problem concerning the New Orleans Brewery, under the new no-striking pact, and Elmer Mohn has advised me to tell you to continue as you have been in the past, prior to the time these pacts were negotiated.

Fraternally,

Al Evans

AE:el



ADMINISTRATIVE FILE

MILLERS FALLS

ORION SKIN

March 25, 1953

Mr. Dave Beck  
552 Denny Way  
Seattle, Washington

Dear Sir and Brother:

Enclosed find a copy of a letter received today in this office from Murray W. Miller relative to the New Orleans Brewery Workers which we are forwarding for your information.

Fraternally yours,

Al Evans

AE:dr  
Enc.

FROM THE OFFICE OF  
DAVE BECK, GENERAL PRESIDENT  
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS  
57 JEFFERSON ST.  
ST. LOUIS, MO. 63102



## THE SOUTHERN CONFERENCE OF TEAMSTERS

AFFILIATED WITH THE  
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD  
OF  
TEAMSTERS • CHAUFFEURS • WAREHOUSEMEN & HELPERS  
OF AMERICA • A. F. OF L.

OFFICE OF  
CHAIRMAN AND DIRECTOR  
1190 WALKER AVE.

PHONE 9-6766  
MEMPHIS, TENN.  
March 23, 1953

Mr. Dave Beck, General President  
International Brotherhood of Teamsters  
100 Indiana Avenue, N. W.  
Washington 1, D. C.

Re: Brewery Workers  
New Orleans, La.

Dear Sir and Brother:

In reference to your request for what information is available regarding the brewery workers in New Orleans, Louisiana, General Organizer Clarence A. Mandoiza had occasion to be in New Orleans recently on another assignment and he went into the matter in detail with the officers of Local No. 270.

He reports that there are six breweries in New Orleans employing some 3,000 people all of which belong to the C.I.O. and are under a one year contract expiring January 1, 1954. He further reports that Business Representative Manny Moore of Local 270 is of the opinion that there is a good possibility for bringing these people into our International Union provided that we would issue them a charter and allow them to elect their own officers.

Brother Moore stated that when the brewery workers voted to go into the C.I.O. that a large number of their members were dissatisfied with this decision and would have preferred to become members of our International Union.

I am writing Brother Moore advising him that I would be in favor of recommending a charter to be issued for the brewery workers, providing a sufficient interest could be aroused to lead them into our Organization. Also at our conference meeting beginning April 22 in Biloxi, where you and Vice-President Hoffa will be present, we will go into this matter with the Local Union's officers at New Orleans in greater detail.

If there is any possibility at all of bringing this group into our Organization this Conference is agreeable to spending whatever amount of money that will be needed to accomplish the program. This is all of the information that can be given to you at this time in regard to this matter, however we will make further study and report to you from time to time.

Fraternally yours,

*Murray W. Miller*  
MURRAY W. MILLER  
CHAIRMAN AND DIRECTOR

MWM:DEB

~~Letter to Mr. Murray Miller~~  
~~# Brewery Worker~~

FEBRUARY 26, 1953

Mr. Murray Miller, General Organizer  
International Brotherhood of Teamsters  
1190 Walker Avenue  
Memphis, Tennessee

Dear Sir and Brother:

I am enclosing a copy of the New Jersey  
"Brewery Worker" of February 19 and call  
your attention to Page 3 referring to  
the GIO Brewery Workers winning an election  
in New Orleans. What is the position of our  
local union as to its jurisdiction in New  
Orleans as to the past, present and future?

Fraternalty yours,

DB:aw